## 

1 2 3 4	ROBERT M. MYERS, Bar #66957 Newman.Aaronson.Vanaman 14001 Ventura Boulevard Sherman Oaks, California 91423 Telephone: (818) 990-7722 Facsimile: (818) 501-1306 E-mail: rmmyers@ix.netcom.com				
5 6 7 8 9	JOSEPH SCHLESINGER, Bar #87692 Acting Federal Defender JENNIFER MANN, Bar #215737 Assistant Federal Defender 801 I Street, 3rd Floor Sacramento, California 95814 Telephone: (916) 498-6666 Facsimile: (916) 498-6656 E-mail: jennifer_mann@fd.org  Attorneys for Intervenor JOHN LEE HOLT				
<ul><li>11</li><li>12</li><li>13</li><li>14</li></ul>	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15 16 17 18 19 20	PAUL C. BOLIN,  Petitioner,  v.  KEVIN CHAPPELL, Warden, San Quentin State Prison,  Respondent.	<ul> <li>NO. 1:99-cv-05279-LJO</li> <li>STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING</li> <li>JOHN LEE HOLT'S MOTION</li> <li>TO INTERVENE</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> <li>27</li> <li>28</li> </ul>	Intervenor, JOHN LEE HOLT, Petitioner, PAUL BOLIN, and Respondent, Warden KEY CHAPPELL, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby agree and stipulate as follows:  1. On February 8, 2013, Mr. Holt moved to intervene in Petitioner Bolin's case, pursuant to Rule 24, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, for the limited purpose of asserting his attorn client privilege and work product protection during the testimony of Charles Soria, Howard Varinsk Bruce Binns at the evidentiary hearing scheduled for March 18, 2013, and in any other proceeding in				

## 

1	case in which such assertion may be necessary. Mot. Intervene at 1 (Doc. 318).		
2	2. In its Order dated February 22, 2013, this Court directed the parties or Mr. Holt to		
3	prepare and file a stipulation and proposed order concerning Mr. Holt's intervention by March 4, 2013.		
4	Doc. 322.		
5	3.	The parties and Interven	nor agree and stipulate that Mr. Holt's counsel should be
6	permitted to intervene at the evidentiary hearing for the limited purpose of asserting Mr. Holt's attorney-		
7	client privilege and work product protection during the testimony of Charles Soria, Howard Varinsky and		
8	Paul Strand. <sup>1</sup>		
9	4.	Counsel for Responden	t, Deputy Attorney General Rachelle Newcomb, and counsel
10	for Petitioner, Brian Abbington, have authorized the undersigned to electronically sign this stipulation on		
11	their behalf.		
12	Dated: Februa	ry 26, 2013	
13			Respectfully submitted,
14		ROBERT M. MYERS	
15 16			JOSEPH SCHLESINGER Acting Federal Defender
17	Dated: February 25, 2013	/s/ Jennifer Mann JENNIFER M. MANN	
18		Assistant Federal Defender	
19		Attorneys for Intervenor JOHN LEE HOLT	
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>		JOSEPH SCHLESINGER Acting Federal Defender	
22			
23		/s/ Brian Abbington (as authorized 02/25/13) BRIAN ABBINGTON Assistant Federal Defender	
<ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul>			Attorneys for Petitioner PAUL C. BOLIN
26			
27	12.6 27		

28

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Mr. Holt did not include Paul Strand in his Motion to Intervene. Respondent indicated an intention to question trial counsel Soria and Mr. Strand about discussions between Soria and Strand in the *Holt* case. Respondent's Response to Minute Order at 4-5 (Doc. 321).

## Case 1:99-cv-05279-LJO-SAB Document 325 Filed 02/28/13 Page 3 of 3

1 Dated: February 26, 2013 KAMALA HARRIS Attorney General 2 /s/ Rachelle A. Newcomb (as authorized 02/26/13) 3 RACHELLE A. NEWCOMB 4 Deputy Attorney General 5 Attorneys for Respondent KEVIN CHAPPELL 6 7 **ORDER** 8 By the stipulation of the parties and for good cause shown, John Lee Holt's motion to intervene 9 is GRANTED. Mr. Holt's counsel may be present and participate at the evidentiary hearing for the limited 10 purpose of asserting Mr. Holt's attorney-client privilege and work product protection during the testimony of Charles Soria, Howard Varinsky and Paul Strand. 11 12 IT IS SO ORDERED. 13 Dated: February <u>27, 2013</u> 14 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill 15 Lawrence J. O'Neill 16 United States District Judge 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28